
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION




Figure 39. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Lawrence County.




Figure 39. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Lawrence County.--Continued




Figure 39. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Lawrence County.--Continued




Figure 39. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Lawrence County.--Continued




Figure 40. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Meade County.




Figure 40. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Meade County.--Continued




Figure 41. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Pennington County.




Figure 41. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Pennington County.--Continued




Figure 41. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Pennington County.--Continued




Figure 41. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Pennington County.--Continued




Figure 42. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Custer County.




Figure 42. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Custer County.--Continued




Figure 42. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Custer County.--Continued




Figure 43. Precipitation departure and hydrographs for selected wells in Fall River County.




Figure 44. Relations between yield efficiency and precipitation for selected streamflow-gaging stations (modified from Carter, Driscoll, and Hamade, 2001).




Figure 44. Relations between yield efficiency and precipitation for selected streamflow-gaging stations (modified from Carter, Driscoll, and Hamade, 2001).--Continued