



Prepared in cooperation with the HIGH COUNTRY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS, TOWN OF SEVEN DEVILS, AND NORTH CAROLINA RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CENTER

#### Abstract

More than 1,500 well records were compiled for Avery and Watauga Counties, North Carolina, as part of a study of ground-water resources. Wells in this area of the Blue Ridge Physiographic Province produce water from the fractured-bedrock aquifer. Prior to this study, only about 132 wells were included in the U.S. Geological Survey's National Water Information System, as a result of a study conducted during the late 1960s. The large number of additional well records provide a better data set to evaluate the ground-water resources. From the more recent well inventory conducted in 2007, the range of well yields in these two counties is from 0 to 400 gallons per minute. Total depth of the wells ranged from 20 to 1,204 feet below land surface, and depth to primary fracture zones ranged from 25 to 1,000 feet below land surface.

#### Introduction

In many rural areas of North Carolina (NC), ground water is the sole resource for drinking water. With increasing population, many more wells are being drilled, and information on this important resource needs to be updated. In February 2007, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) initiated a study in cooperation with the High Country Council of Governments, through a grant received by the North Carolina Rural Economic Development Center, to better quantify available ground-water resources in two rural counties (Avery and Watauga) in the northern North Carolina mountains. Many small towns in Avery and Watauga counties are dependent on wells from local fractured-bedrock aquifers, and local officials are concerned about the sustainability of the resource for support of economic development and population growth. In 2005, all residents in Avery County were served by ground-water resources, while 37 percent of the population in Watauga County was served by ground water (D.G. Smith, U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 2007)

36°20'

Avery and Watauga Counties are located in the northern mountains of North Carolina (inset map), and include the towns of Boone, Blowing Rock, Seven Devils, Banner Elk, Sugar Mountain, Grandfather Village, Linville, Crossnore, Newland, Elk Park, and Beech Mountain. These resort towns have populations that increase seasonally to as much as five times the base year-round population. Water supplies for most resort towns can meet current demands but may not be able to support additional growth from large developments, such as condominiums. Boone has the largest population in the area, about 14,473 residents in 2006 (North Carolina State Demographics, 2006), excluding the student population of Appalachian State University (ASU). Boone relies on withdrawals from nearby surface-water resources for public water supplies (North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Resources, 2007).

### Hydrogeology

82°

The ground-water system of the Blue Ridge Physiographic Province of western North Carolina is composed of geologic and hydrogeologic settings that include various assemblages of rocks that have undergone multiple periods of structural deformation, metamorphism, and igneous intrusion. The complex rock assemblages are grouped as "geologic belts or terranes" that contain similar lithologies having common geologic history (North Carolina Geological Survey, 1985). The ground-water system is composed of shallow, weathered regolith (commonly referred to as saprolite) at land surface, and deeper, fractured bedrock (Heath, 1984). In the mountainous topographic settings, well-developed regolith may not be present. The bedrock often crops out at the surface as a result of the ongoing erosional processes on steep slopes. In some areas, debris-flow deposits from landslides may provide ground-water storage. If regolith or debris-flow deposits are not present at land surface, then managing the ground-water resources in the bedrock becomes more difficult because of the lack of storage in the fracture network. Hydrogeologic units delineated in Avery and Watauga Counties include metaigneous, mafic (MIM); igneous, felsic intrusive (IFI); gneiss, mafic (GNM); gneiss, felsic (GNF); phyllite (PHL); schist (SCH); and quartzite (QTZ); Daniel and Payne, 1990). Hydrogeologic units throughout the Blue Ridge and Piedmont Physiographic Provinces are delineated further in Daniel and Payne (1990).

### Methods

In this report, both domestic (individual) and public-supply wells and associated well-construction data, such as depth and yield, are presented for Avery and Watauga Counties, North Carolina. Well data were obtained from the USGS National Water Information System (NWIS); the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (NCDENR) Division of Water Quality (DWQ) and Division of Environmental Health Public Water

0

Supply Section (PWSS); several towns (Elk Park, Newland, and Banner Elk); and from inspection of well driller's tags on the well casings during field visits.

81°50

Well data were compiled from paper and electronic records obtained from the DWQ and PWSS, Asheville and Winston-Salem Regional Offices. The DWQ receives well-construction records or "GW-1" forms from drillers, and the PWSS receives pumpage reports from communitysupply wells providing 15 or more connections for 25 or more people (North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, 1990). Paper copies of the GW-1 forms were obtained for wells drilled between 1999-2007 in Avery County and for wells drilled between 2004-2007 in Watauga County. Electronic spreadsheets of well data for both counties were obtained for 1986-2005 (Walt Haven, North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Quality, written commun., March 2007). Well data includes the following information (when available): owner information, general location, date drilled, well depth, casing depth, well yield (gallons per minute), and depth to contributing fracture(s). All data collected as part of this study were entered into the USGS Ground-Water Site Inventory (GWSI) database and exported into a geographic information system (GIS) database for mapping and statistical

Only a small percentage of the inventoried wells could be field located within the timeframe of this study. However, some of the more recent GW-1's

00

9

0

Ò

8

00

analysis.

(2006-2007) include geographic coordinates of the well locations. Coordinates were assigned to the other wells using mapping software once the location of the well-owner parcel was identified from county GIS coverages. Parcel locations were identified from the GIS coverages using owner information on driller's well records. Also, when available, location sketches and street addresses on the GW-1's were used to verify the parcel locations. Once the parcel was located, mapping software was used to assign coordinates. Well coordinates were assigned using the location of the approximate center of the parcel. The accuracy of the coordinates is approximately one second or 100 feet (for a 1-acre parcel). The accuracy of the well locations decreases with increasing parcel size. Typically, wells located on larger parcels (greater than 5 acres) were not used. Well records for community-supply wells were obtained from the PWSS. Also, GIS shapefiles containing well data and locations of these wells were obtained from NC OneMap (http://www.nconemap.com/Default.aspx?tabid=286).

Well locations were determined by the PWSS using

000

Ó

0

(421)

differential correction. The accuracy of the GPS location data is about 6 to 16 feet (approximately 2 to 5 meters). Several towns also supplied well data, aquifer-test reports, and pumpage records. The Town of Elk Park supplied well data (including coordinates) and pumping-test reports for two supply wells. The Town of Newland supplied well-construction information for four supply wells and aquifer- test data from one well. The Town of Banner Elk supplied well-construction information, including daily production, in million gallons per day (Mgal/d), for four supply wells. Daily permitted withdrawals for the Banner Elk production wells range from 0.072 to 0.173 Mgal/d. The information recorded from well driller's tags generally included date drilled, total depth, depth of casing, and well yield. Coordinates were assigned to the wells using hand-held GPS equipment with accuracies of about 10 to 25 feet.

global positioning system (GPS) equipment with

81°40

### Summary of Data Compilation and Findings

Data for 1,572 wells (653 wells in Avery and 919 wells in Watauga Counties) were compiled during June-September 2007. Of these, 132 wells were from a study conducted in the 1960's (Sumsion and Laney, 1967), 45 wells were physically located

C

GNM

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

using a GPS unit in the field, 313 well coordinates were provided by the PWSS, and 1,082 wells were located using owner information from GW-1 construction records and the county GIS. Well data include location, date drilled, well depth, casing depth, well yield, and depth to the primary contributing fracture zone (when available). The range and mean values for well depth, yield, and primary fracture depths for each hydrogeologic

and primary fracture depths for each hydrogeologic unit for Avery and Watauga Counties are listed in table 1, and the two counties combined in table 2. The total depth of wells ranged from 20 to 1,204 feet below land surface, the well yield ranged from 0 to 400 gallons per minute, and depth to the primary fracture zones ranged from 25 to 1,000 feet below land surface. The highest mean well yield of 32 gallons per minute was observed in data from the phyllite hydrogeologic unit, and the lowest mean well yield of 12 gallons per minute was noted in data from the mafic gneiss unit.

# Acknowledgments

GNF

The authors appreciate the enthusiastic support of the Town of Seven Devils, specifically Richard DeMott, Mayor Pro Tem; and Brad Lambert, Town Manager; and members of the Water and Steep Slopes committee. We thank Kelly Coffey of the High Country Council of Governments and Robin Pulver of the North Carolina Rural Economic and Development Center for funding support and cooperation. The technical discussions and data sharing among Dr. William Anderson, Dr. Loren Raymond, and Keith Seramur of the Department of Geology at Appalachian State University were greatly appreciated. Field well-inventory work conducted by Joey Anderson and Rachel Storniolo, students at Appalachian State University, was an important component of this study. The authors thank the following Towns and associated personnel for providing well data, pumpage rates, and aquifertest data used in this study—Town of Elk Park, Connie Guinn; Town of Newland, Keith Holman; and the Town of Banner Elk, Hugh Montgomery. We sincerely appreciate the data sharing from the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Quality, specifically Wade McDonald (Winston-Salem Regional Office) and Ted Minnick (Asheville Regional Office).

## References

81°30'

Daniel, C.C., III, and Payne, R.A., 1990, Hydrogeologic unit map of the Piedmont and Blue Ridge provinces of North Carolina: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigation Report 90-4035, 1 sheet, scale 1:500,000. Heath, R.C., 1984, Ground water regions of the United States: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2242, 78 p. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, 1990, Protection of Public Water Supplies: Section .0100, Rules .0101-.0102 of Title 15A Subchapter 18C of the North Carolina Administrative Code, April, 8p; http://www.deh.enr.state.nc.us/pws/rules/ Section\_0100.pdf (accessed November 27, 2007). North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Resources, 2007, Local Water Supply Plans: North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources; accessed in December 2007 at http://www.ncwater.org/Water\_Supply\_ Planning/Local\_Water\_Supply\_Plan/ report.php?. North Carolina Geological Survey, 1985, Geologic map of North Carolina: Raleigh, North Carolina Geological Survey, 1: 500,000 scale. North Carolina State Demographics, 2006, Municipal population estimates: North Carolina State Demographics, accessible at http://demog.state.nc.us/munests.html Sumsion, C.T., and Laney, R.L., 1967, Geology and ground-water resources of the Morganton area, North Carolina: North Carolina Department of Water Resources, Division of Ground Water, Bulletin No. 12, 119 p. U.S. Census Bureau, 2005, "American Factfinder" database: U.S. Department of Commerce, accessed in August 2006 at http://factfinder.census.gov/home/saff/ *main.html?\_lang=en.* U.S. Census Bureau, 1960, Census of Population 1960, Volume I, Part 35, Characteristics of Population: North Carolina: U.S. Department of Commerce, accessed in August 2006 at http://www2.census.gov/prod2/decennial/

documents/06586188v1p35ch3.pdf.



National Elevation Dataset U.S. Census Bureau Department of Transportation, North Carolina National Hydrography Dataset 1 1:100,000 scale

# Inventory of Well Yields in Avery and Watauga Counties, North Carolina By Brad A. Huffman, Melinda J. Chapman, Kirsten C. Tighe, and Silvia Terziotti 2008

